

## **Integrated Pest Management** pilot workshop for advisors

## Winter Pulses - South



Your GRDC working with you



#### Chickpeas, faba beans, lupins, field peas, lentils

Pest	Emergence	Vegetative	Flowering	Podding - Grainfill
Mites				
Lucerne flea				
Weevils				
Snails				
Aphids				
Helicoverpa				
Etiella (field pea, lentils)				
Pea Weevil (peas)				





## Viruses & Pulses



# Management requires an integrated approach

Viruses are

- aphid-vectored
- Some are seed-borne

Increased risk if:

- High rainfall (> 500 mm/year)
- Irrigation region

Cowpea aphid on volunteer vetch

- Clover/medic pastures and other hosts nearby
- Green bridge (weeds and volunteers)





#### Aphid virus transmission

#### Non-Persistent (N-P) vs. Persistent (P)

CMV AMV BYMV



BLV BWYV

(image: D Persley, DAFF Qld)

Your GRDC working with you

Need only very short feeding times Need feed for several hours to acquire virus

Insecticides <u>not</u> usually fast enough to reduce transmission Insecticides may reduce virus transmission

 Monitoring and aphid thresholds do <u>not</u> apply to aphids carrying virus
 GRDC Grains Research & Development Corporation

#### Assessing risk: Decision Making tor Insect Management in Grain Crops aphids and virus transmission



Ex GRDC factsheet "Aphids and viruses in pulse crops"









#### Minimise sources of virus (green bridge, weeds)

#### Sow

- virus-free seed
- resistant cultivars
- Into standing stubble
- Higher seeding rate

### Control



Virus-infected plants scattered through a chickpea crop

Seed dressing where risk of persistent virus





## **Flowering to grain fill**

## Native budworm Etiella moth

Aphids



## **Native budworm life stages**





Helicoverpa punctigera

mm diameter

### 4 pairs of prolegs

GRD

Grains Research & Development Corporation Your GRDC working with you

Helicoverpa size categories				
Small	Medium	Large		
4-7 mm	8-23 mm	24-30+ mm		
	Small	Small Medium		

90 % of damage caused by these larvae



## **Monitoring budworm**



### Early warning – moth activity

- Pheromone traps

### In-crop monitoring

- Sweep net









## Dynamic thresholds for native budworm

	K – grain loss kg/larva/ha	<b>P</b> – grain price \$/tonne	<b>C</b> – cost of control \$/ha	<b>ET</b> – larvae per 10 sweeps
Field peas	50	350	10	0.6
Lentils	60	435	10	0.4
Faba bean	90	335	10	0.3
Chickpeas - desi	30	275	10	1.2
Canola	6	580	10	2.9
Lupins	7	300	10	4.8
Field peas	50	350	10	0.6

\*Developed in Western Australia: Source: DAFWA



#### **Example ready reckoner: chickpea**



Control is warranted if the cost of control is less than the value of the yield loss predicted.

	Value of yield loss (\$/ha)				
Chickpea price (\$/t)	1 larva/10 sweeps	2 larva/10 sweeps	3 larva/10 sweeps	4 larva/10 sweeps	5 larva/10 sweeps
200	6	12	18	24	30
300	9	18	27	36	45
400	12	24	36	48	60
500	15	30	45	60	75
600	18	36	54	72	90

Value of yield loss =  $(\cos t \circ f \circ control \times 1000)/(30 \times chickpea \circ price)$ 

based on DAFWA estimate of potential yield loss 30 kg/ha per larva/10 sweeps s Research &





Decision Making



# Do receival standards for defective grain make yield thresholds irrelevant?

#### Faba bean as an example

Cost of	Grain price (\$/t)			
control (\$/ha)	300	400	500	
15	0.6	0.4	0.3	
20	0.7	0.6	0.4	
25	0.9	0.7	0.6	
30	1.1	0.8	0.7	
35	1.3	1.0	0.8	
40	1.5	1.1	0.9	

Based on DAFWA yield loss estimate of 90 kg/ha per larva per 10 sweeps.

Faba beans Canning grade	<b>2% Max by weight,</b> includes 1% Max by weight Poor Colour
Faba beans #1 grade	6% Max by weight includes 3% Max by weight Poor Colour <b>3% Max by weight</b> total of all other Defects
Faba beans #2 grade	<b>10% Max by weight</b> , includes 7% Max by weight Poor Colour
Faba beans #3 grade	<b>20% Max by weight</b> of which 7% Max by weight bin burnt, caked, heat damaged, sprouted





## **Other considerations**

Egg and early instar mortality high

Hot weather – small larvae burrow

Soft options – NPV, Bt?

Target small – medium larvae







## **Etiella** in lentils

- Decision Making for Insect Management in Grain Crops
- Larvae remain enclosed within pods until close to maturity
  - Damage levels not known until harvest
  - Not exposed to chemical sprays
- Sprays must target adult moths before egg lay
- Therefore require early warning system
- Very low tolerance for damaged lentil grain

http://www.graintrade.org.au/commodity\_standards









## Early warning system – *Etiella*



Etiella degree-day model

- Forecasts timing of initial moth flight
- Uses daily max/min temperatures
- Date when the model reaches 351 D-days is the date to start monitoring for moth flights
- Download the model from the SARDI website <u>www.sardi.sa.gov.au</u>
- Input max/min temperatures from <u>www.bom.gov.au/climate/data</u>
- PestFacts newsletter provides model D-day outputs during spring



#### Etiella flight model output





10

30

Date

19

7

19

- \_\_\_\_\_ Snowtown, SA
- --- Turretfield, SA
- Nhill, VIC

Dec

16

27

- ····· Horsham, VIC
- Model prediction for commencement date of in-crop monitoring



# Can we control *Helicoverpa* and *Etiella* with one spray?

- Not in all years.
  eg. Wimmera 1997.
- However in some years YES.
- Requires close monitoring and use of the *Etiella* model.



Decision Making

in Grain Crop

Adapted from M. Miles, H. Brier, Lentil Focus Proceedings 2002







## **Aphids – direct damage**

	Threshold
Chickpea	None
Lupins	Treat at appearance of clusters on flowering plants (NSW)
Faba beans	10% plants heavily infested (Vic)
Field pea	None. Assess % plants infested.
Lentil	None









### Winter pulse best bet

Pest	Post harvest, Pre-sowing	Establishment - vegetative	Flowering - grainfill
Aphids – direct damage (not virus) Cowpea Green peach Blue-green Pea aphid	Remove green bridge (aphid hosts) to minimise build up during autumn and spring. Sowing into standing stubble may reduce aphid landing and delay aphid build up in crops.	Control in-crop weeds to minimise sources of aphids. Conserve beneficial insects that will suppress small aphid populations and reduce the likelihood of outbreaks. High nitrogen may make the crop more attractive to aphids	Conserve and monitor beneficials that suppress aphids. Be aware that use of SPs, OPs and carbamates may flare aphids. Monitor post application for flaring. Limited knowledge of damaging levels. If control is required, use soft options (e.g. pirimicarb).





## **Additional information**

# Slides in this section cover specific issues that are relevant to only some regions.



## Virus in chickpea





Edgeroi chickpea crop (11km NW of Edgeroi) with ~50% symptomatic plants throughout block 2012. (M. Sharman, DAFF)



Virus symptoms in chickpeas showing reddening 2011 (M. Sharman, DAFF)

- Losses are often difficult to estimate but can be 100% if infections are early in the cropping cycle and at high incidence
- if infection is very late in the season then those plants may still have produced some pods but it is likely the seed quality would be poor as the plants would have shut down (died) prematurely.



#### Pea Weevil (PW): a southern Pulse IPM case study

- 1980's emerged as major pest
  - no effective natural enemies
  - no cultural controls
  - insecticidal control difficult
    - Timing must prevent egglaying
- 1986-1992: coordinated research on PW biology/ecology across 3 States
  - objective to generate new knowledge to improve management









t Corporation



#### Pea Weevil: the key R&D findings

- PW invasion of pea crops
  - Occurs from crop edge, PW infestation remains highly skewed
  - Timing of invasion (start date & duration) is temperature dependent
    - Predictive models were developed
- Rate of Pea Weevil Development
  - Rate of ovarian development
  - Egg to adult: Pea crop consistently harvestable 3-4 weeks before first PW adults develop



Decision Making

Estimated timing of PW invasion, SA, 1986-2002



#### **Pea Weevil - The IPM Strategy**



- Optimised Insecticidal Control
  - Border spraying (outer 40m, < 1/3<sup>rd</sup> of average crop area)
  - Accurate timing guidelines:
    - date for 1<sup>st</sup> spray
    - need for 2<sup>nd</sup> spray (and date if required)
  - Marked reduction in grain infestation levels and spray costs
- Early Harvest followed by grazing
  - Yield losses minimized, and
  - Prevents PW dispersal and carryover within the district

